

3677

#### **PATENT**

# IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

INVENTOR(S) : KOLODY, et al.

TITLE : RADIOLUCENT SURGICAL TABLE

WITH LOW SHADOW ACCESSORY

INTERFACE PROFILE

APPLICATION NO. : 09/804,287

FILED : March 12, 2001

CONFIRMATION NO. : 7462

EXAMINER: : Thomas Y. Ho

ART UNIT : 3677

LAST OFFICE ACTION : October 15, 2004

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. : MEDZ 2 01118

Cleveland, OH 44114 December 17, 2004

### STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW

Mail Stop Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

A telephonic interview was conducted in connection with the above-identified patent application on November 15, 2004. An Interview Summary (PTOL-413) was mailed from the Patent Office on November 19, 2004. The substance of that interview is as follows:

<u>Brief Description of the Nature of Any Exhibit Shown or Any Demonstration Conducted:</u>
None.

#### Identification of the Claims Discussed:

All claims and particularly claims 30 and 35.

### Identification of the Specific Prior Art Discussed:

U.S. Patent Nos. 4,145,612 (Cooper, 5,983,426 (Vanek), and 4,484,517 (Velazquez)

Identification of the Principal Proposed Amendments of a Substantive Nature Discussed, Unless these are Already Described on the Interview Summary Form Completed by the Examiner:

An amendment was proposed to claim 30 wherein the connection area would be recited as being substantially non-planar. In addition, an amendment to claim 30 was proposed wherein the interface would be defined by a plurality of curved surfaces of the patient support member.

Brief Identification of the General Thrust of the Principal Arguments Presented to the Examiner:

The general thrust of the principal arguments presented was, in connection with claim 30, that the Vanek patent teaches planar surfaces. In connection with claim 35, the Velazquez patent teaches an interface formed on curved surfaces, but the curved surfaces are not part of the patient support member.

General Indication of any other Pertinent Matters Discussed:

No other pertinent matters were discussed.

General Results or Outcome of the Interview:

An agreement was reached that an amendment would be filed along the lines of the discussions conducted during the interview.

Respectfully submitted,

FAY, SHARPE, FAGAN, MINNICH & McKEE, LLP

Michael E. Hudzinski

Reg. No. 34,185

1100 Superior Avenue, 7th Floor Cleveland, Ohio 44114-2579

(216) 861-5582

N:\MEDZ\201118\bjb0002572V001.doc

# CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I certify that this Statement of Substance of Interview is being	
	Service as First Class mail under 37 C.F.R. § 1.8, addressed to:
	s, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date indicated
below.	
transmitted via facsimile under 37 C.F.R. §	
deposited with the United States Postal S	Service "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" service under 37
C.F.R. 1.10 on the date indicated below a	nd is addressed to: MAIL STOP, Commissioner For Patents,
P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450	).
Express Mail Label No.:	() Signature
	Barbar Brasie
Date	Printed Name
	V
December 17, 2004	Barbara Brazier